

At The State And Federal Level, Pennsylvania Republicans Are Siding With Extremists And Out Of State Oil Interests To Try And Block Responsible Investing And Oppose Clean Energy Developments That Help Pennsylvanians.

Executive Summary

In 2023, Rep. Milou Mackenzie signed on as a co-sponsor of [HB334](#) in the Pennsylvania House of Representatives. The Fair Access to Financial Services Act was based on [model legislation](#) created by the [climate-science denying Heartland Institute](#), which has [taken money](#) from the oil industry as well as billionaires like the Mercer and Uihlein families

If passed into law, HB334 would restrict the use of responsible investing criteria by financial services providers in Pennsylvania, and prohibit them from denying service based on any non-financial risk factors, such as environmental, social and governance criteria, diversity, equity and inclusion policies or political and ideological factors not pre-approved by the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities.

Pennsylvania's HB334 is similar to bills introduced in other states like Florida that the United States Treasury Department has said "[could harm efforts to address money laundering and terrorism financing](#)." Efforts like the Pennsylvania and Florida bills could also "[compel financial institutions to provide services to extremist groups](#), including those that promote bigoted discrimination under the guise of religious expression, and white nationalists."

Rep. Milou Mackenzie cosponsored the bill alongside at least [eight members of Pennsylvania's State House Freedom Caucus](#), part of the extreme State Freedom Caucus Network, which has received [at least \\$100,000](#) from Leonard Leo's anti-ESG financial machine.

Additionally, Rep. Milou Mackenzie herself has taken nearly \$3000 from the energy and utility industries during her career, and in 2024 received an [endorsement](#) from the Koch-backed Americans for Prosperity for her re-election campaign.

Meanwhile, at the federal level, the Inflation Reduction Act has unleashed over \$7.4 Billion in investment in Pennsylvania's clean energy economy, but ten Pennsylvania federal officials still voted against the plan, and they've taken nearly \$3.5 million in campaign cash from the oil and gas industry.

Background

Pennsylvania's HB334 – Co-Sponsored By Rep. Milou Mackenzie – Is Similar To Legislation Created By The Climate-Science Denying Heartland Institute And Legislation That Forces Banks To Provide Services To Hate Groups.

In 2023, Republicans In The Pennsylvania House - Including Rep. Milou Mackenzie - Introduced HB334, Which Would Restrict The Use Of Responsible Investing Criteria In The Banking And Consumer Financial Services Industry.

In 2023, HB 334 Was Introduced In The Pennsylvania House of Representatives By Prime Sponsor Rep. Perry A. Staumbaugh (R). [Pennsylvania HB334, [2023](#)]

- **HB334 Would “Prohibit Any Business Or Corporation Doing Business In The Commonwealth From Using ESG Scores As A Credit Score Or Sole Condition Of Financing And Providing Services... [And] Will Prevent ESG Scores From Being Exclusively Or Primarily Used In Decision-Making In Consumer Transactions.”**
HB334 was introduced to “prohibit any business or corporation doing business in the Commonwealth from using environmental, social, and governance (ESG) scores as a credit score or sole condition of financing and providing services. In addition, it will prevent ESG scores from being exclusively or primarily used in decision-making in consumer transactions, and to block state Treasury and retirement plans from exclusively utilizing ESG scores when making investment decisions.” [Pennsylvania House Co-Sponsorship Memorandum - Prohibiting Use of ESG as a Credit Score, [2023-2024](#)]
- **Co-Sponsors Of The Bill Include Representative Milou Mackenzie (R) and several others.** Co-Sponsors Include:
 - Rep. Jamie Barton (R)
 - Rep. Windy Fink (R)
 - Rep. Barbara Gleim (R)
 - Rep. Joe Hamm (R)

- Rep. R. Lee James (R)
- Rep. Rob Kauffman (R)
- Rep. Dawn Keefer (R)
- Rep. Robert Leadbeter (R)
- Rep. Milou Mackenzie (R)
- Rep. Ryan Mackenzie (R)
- Rep. David Rowe (R)
- Rep. Stephanie Scialabba (R)
- Rep. Tim Twardzik (R)
- Rep. David Zimmerman (R)

[Pennsylvania HB334, [2023](#)]

Pennsylvania’s HB334 Appears To Be Based On Model Legislation From The Climate-Science Denying Heartland Institute, Which Has Taken Money From Billionaires And The Oil & Gas Industry.

“The Heartland Institute Is A Chicago-Based Free Market Think Tank That Attacks The Science Behind Global Warming And Routinely Pushes For Legislation Weakening Or Repealing Renewable Energy Policies.” [Energy & Policy Institute, accessed [09/13/24](#)]

In 2023, The Heartland Institute Described A Strategy Of Opposing ESG Using “Fair Access” Legislation To Regulate ESG Investing As Supposed Discrimination. “The third prominent anti-ESG strategy attempts to attack ESG closer to its foundations. At its core, ESG operates by discriminating against individuals, companies, industries, and even sovereign governments based on their adherence (or lack thereof) to subjective and politically motivated mandates. Large financial institutions such as JP Morgan Chase and Bank of America—among many others—are particularly guilty of this behavior.... The language ensconced in the “fair access” legislation—especially if strengthened by the fiduciary approaches outlined above and adopted in tandem— represents a politically aggressive approach to rolling back ESG policies that are already being weaponized against individuals and key state industries, which will only escalate as time transpires.” [Heartland Institute, [April 2023](#)]

- **Pennsylvania’s HB334 Uses Language Virtually Identical To That Contained In Model Legislation Proposed By The Heartland Institute.**

Heartland Institute Model Legislation

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

SECTION 1. A new chapter to [title Banking Code] of the [State Statutes] is created and enacted as follows:

Fair access to financial products and services - Scope

1. To provide fair access to financial products and services, a financial institution may not deny a person a financial product or service:
 - a. Except to the extent justified by the person's documented failure to meet quantitative, impartial, risk-based financial standards established in advance by the financial institution.
 - b. Other than as provided in subdivision a, when the denial is to prevent, limit, or otherwise disadvantage the person from entering or competing in a market or business segment, or in a way that benefits another person or business activity in which the financial institution has a financial interest.
 - c. In coordination with another person, which the financial institution offers.
2. A financial institution that uses standards or guidelines based on nonfinancial,

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Scores

nontraditional, and subjective measures such as environmental, social, and governance criteria; diversity, equity, and inclusion policies; or political and ideological factors shall:

- a. Disclose to the commissioner of financial institutions the standards, guidelines, and criteria used by the financial institution to determine access to or denial of a financial product or service to a person in this state.
- b. Comply with any rules adopted by the commissioner of financial institutions.
- c. Disclose to any person denied a financial product or service the specific data, information, criteria, and standard used to support the denial.

[Heartland Institute, [April 2023](#)]

Pennsylvania HB334

12 Section 4. Fair access to financial services.
13 To provide fair access to financial services, a financial
14 institution:
15 (1) Shall make each financial service the institution
16 offers available to all individuals in the geographic market
17 served by the financial institution on a nondiscriminatory
18 basis.
19 (2) May not deny a person a financial service that the
20 financial institution offers except to the extent justified
21 by the documented failure to meet quantitative, impartial
22 risk-based financial standards established in advance by the
23 financial institution or in compliance with section 5.
24 (3) May not deny a person a financial service that the
25 financial institution offers, other than as provided in
26 paragraph (2), if the effect of the denial is to prevent,
27 limit or otherwise disadvantage the person:
28 (i) From entering or competing in a market or
29 business segment.
30 (ii) In a way that benefits another person or

1 business activity in which the financial institution has
2 a financial interest.
3 (iii) In coordination with a third party, from a
4 financial service that the financial institution offers.
5 Section 5. Disclosure of nontraditional evaluation criteria.
6 To provide financial services in this Commonwealth, a
7 financial institution that utilizes standards or guidelines
8 based on nonfinancial, nontraditional and subjective measures,
9 including environmental, social and governance criteria,
10 diversity, equity and inclusion policies or political and
11 ideological factors shall:
12 (1) Disclose to the department the specific standards,
13 guidelines and criteria used by the financial institution to
14 determine access or denial of a financial service to a person
15 in this Commonwealth.
16 (2) Comply with rules and regulations promulgated by the
17 department.
18 (3) Disclose to a person denied a financial institution
19 service the specific standards, guidelines and criteria used
20 to support the denial. The consumer disclosure shall be in
21 bold 14-point font.

[Pennsylvania HB334, [2023](#)]

From 1998 to 2006, Exxon Mobil Gave More Than \$600,000 To The Heartland Institute“From 1998 to 2006, Exxon Mobil, for example, contributed more than \$600,000 to Heartland, according to annual reports of charitable contributions from the company and company foundations.” [New York Times, [03/08/09](#)]

The Billionaire-Controlled Mercer Family Foundation Has Given \$6,688,000 To Heartland Institute. [DeSmog, accessed [09/13/24](#)]

The Billionaire-Controlled Ed Uihlein Family Foundation Has Given At Least \$175,000 To Heartland Institute. [DeSmog, accessed [09/13/24](#)]

Pennsylvania’s HB334 Pursues Similar Goals As Florida’s “Anti-Woke” Banking Bills, Which Level Sanctions Against Financial Services Providers In Florida If They Deny Or Cancel “Services Based On Political Beliefs Or Affiliations, Religious Beliefs Or Affiliations, Business Sector... Or Applying Social Credit Scores.”

Pennsylvania’s HB334 Would Prohibit Pennsylvania Financial Institutions From Denying Financial Services For A Variety Of Reasons, Including “Environmental, Social And Governance Criteria, Diversity, Equity And Inclusion Policies Or Political And Ideological Factors” Not Pre-Approved By The Pennsylvania Department Of Banking And Securities.

“Section 4. Fair access to financial services. To provide fair access to financial services, a financial institution: [...]

(2) May not deny a person a financial service that the financial institution offers except to the extent justified by the documented failure to meet quantitative, impartial risk-based financial standards established in advance by the financial institution or in compliance with section 5.”

(3) May not deny a person a financial service that the financial institution offers, other than as provided in paragraph (2), if the effect of the denial is to prevent, limit or otherwise disadvantage the person:

(i) From entering or competing in a market or business segment.

(ii) In a way that benefits another person or business activity in which the financial institution has a financial interest.

(iii) In coordination with a third party, from a financial service that the financial institution offers.

“Section 5. Disclosure of nontraditional evaluation criteria. To provide financial services in this Commonwealth, a financial institution that utilizes standards or guidelines based on nonfinancial, nontraditional and subjective measures, including environmental, social and governance criteria, diversity, equity and inclusion policies or political and ideological factors shall:

(1) Disclose to the department the specific standards, guidelines and criteria used by the financial institution to determine access or denial of a financial service to a person in this Commonwealth.

(2) Comply with rules and regulations promulgated by the department.

(3) Disclose to a person denied a financial institution service the specific standards, guidelines and criteria used to support the denial. The consumer disclosure shall be in bold 14-point font.”

[HB334 - Text, [2023](#)]

- **HB334’s Co-Sponsorship Memorandum Also Said The Bill Would “Prohibit Any Business Or Corporation Doing Business In The Commonwealth From Using ESG Scores As A Credit Score Or Sole Condition Of Financing And Providing Services... [And] Will Prevent ESG Scores From Being Exclusively Or Primarily Used In Decision-Making In Consumer Transactions.”** HB334 was introduced to “prohibit any business or corporation doing business in the Commonwealth from using environmental, social, and governance (ESG) scores as a credit score or sole condition of financing and providing services. In addition, it will prevent ESG scores from being exclusively or primarily used in decision-making in consumer transactions, and to block state Treasury and retirement plans from exclusively utilizing ESG scores when making investment decisions.” [Pennsylvania House Co-Sponsorship Memorandum - Prohibiting Use of ESG as a Credit Score, [2023-2024](#)]

In 2023, Florida’s House Of Representatives Passed A Law That Subjects Financial Services Companies To Sanctions If They Deny Or Cancel “Services Based On Political Beliefs Or Affiliations, Religious Beliefs Or Affiliations, Business Sector... Or Applying Social Credit Scores.” “State and local governments may only deposit funds in banks and savings associations that have been designated as a Qualified Public Depository (QPD). The bill prohibits certification as a QPD if a bank has engaged in an “unsafe and unsound business practice” by denying or canceling services based on political beliefs or affiliations, religious beliefs or affiliations, business sector, or any other factor that is not a quantitative, impartial, risk-based standard, or applying social credit scores. QPDs will be required to certify compliance with this requirement. Other financial institutions – banks, trust companies, credit unions, consumer finance lenders, and money services businesses – may be subject to administrative sanctions if they engage in an “unsafe and unsound business practice” by denying or canceling services based on political beliefs or affiliations, religious beliefs or affiliations, business sector, any other factor that is not a quantitative, impartial, risk-based standard, or applying social credit scores.” [Florida House of Representatives Staff Final Bill Analysis for HB3, [05/03/23](#)]

- **“HB 3 Passed The [Florida] House On March 24, 2023, And Subsequently Passed The [Florida] Senate On April 19, 2023” And Was Signed Into Law By The Governor.** [Florida House of Representatives Staff Final Bill Analysis for HB3, [05/03/23](#)]
- **In 2024, Governor Ron DeSantis Signed A Second Bill, HB989, To “Protect Conservative Groups... From Being Dropped By Financial Institutions.”** “House Bill 989 would protect conservative groups, gun sellers and private prisons from being dropped by financial institutions, DeSantis said during a news conference in Jacksonville. ‘If you own a firearm store — federal firearm license, following the laws and everything — if they just cut you off because they don’t like that business, you have recourse here in this new piece of legislation,’ DeSantis said.” [Tampa Bay Times, [05/02/24](#)]

The US Treasury Department Issued A Warning About Florida’s “Anti-Woke” Law And Similar Laws Like HB334, Saying They Could Harm Efforts To Address Money Laundering And Terrorism Financing.

In July 2024, The US Treasury Department Warned That “State Laws That Restrict Banks From Considering Environmental, Social And Governance Factors Could Harm Efforts To Address Money Laundering And Terrorism Financing.” “The Treasury Department is warning that state laws that restrict banks from considering environmental, social and governance factors could harm efforts to address money laundering and terrorism financing. The Associated Press obtained a copy of the letter sent Thursday to lawmakers. The letter singled out a law signed by Florida Gov. Ron DeSantis in May that says it would be an ‘unsafe and unsound practice’ for banks to consider non-financial factors when doing business. The

letter concludes that ‘such laws create uncertainty and may inhibit’ national security efforts.”
[Associated Press, [07/19/24](#)]

Pennsylvania’s HB334 Is Also Similar To Model Legislation Frequently Called The “Equality in Financial Services Act” Which Could Force Banks To Provide Services To “Extremist Groups, Including Those That Promote Bigoted Discrimination Under The Guise Of Religious Expression, And White Nationalists.”

Pennsylvania’s HB334 Is Also Similar To Bills That Seek To Impose Civil Penalties On Financial Institutions For “Incorporating A Broad Array Of Risk Assessment Criteria Before Committing To Provide Loans, Process Transactions, And Offer Other Financial Services.” “While many anti-ESG bills address the management of state funds, other proposals seek to shape the private sector’s actions through the regulation of private transactions and disclosures. One such new model, often introduced under the name the ‘Equality in Financial Services Act...’ [which] seeks to place new civil liabilities on financial institutions taking ESG factors into account. This proposal is reminiscent of the failed “Fair Access to Financial Services” model from 2023. Each of these policies seeks to impose civil liability on private-sector financial institutions for incorporating a broad array of risk assessment criteria before committing to provide loans, process transactions, and offer other financial services.”
[Pleiades Strategy - 2024 Statehouse Report, [2024](#)]

- **These Similar Bills Use “Anti-ESG Language” To “Force Financial Institutions To Provide Services To Entities Involved In Activity That Could Expose Them To Significant Liabilities... [Such As] Extremist Groups, Including Those That Promote Bigoted Discrimination Under The Guise Of Religious Expression, And White Nationalists.”** “These bills use anti-ESG language to turn the definition of ‘discrimination’ on its head and force financial institutions to provide services to entities involved in activity that could expose them to significant liabilities. These proposals would prevent banks from making clear-eyed risk assessments before offering services to firearms manufacturers, fossil fuel companies, and other high-risk industries. The bill language could also compel financial institutions to provide services to extremist groups, including those that promote bigoted discrimination under the guise of religious expression, and white nationalists. Based on the legislation’s backwards definition of “discrimination,” failing to provide financial services could result in civil penalties.”
[Pleiades Strategy - 2024 Statehouse Report, [2024](#)]
- **The “Anti-Discrimination” Bills Were Backed By Groups Like “The Alliance Defending Freedom, a homophobic Christian nationalist group condemned by the Human Rights Campaign and GLAAD.”** “The primary supporters of this new model bill were the Opportunity Solutions Project, the lobbying affiliate of the Foundation for Government Accountability, and the Alliance Defending Freedom, a homophobic

Christian nationalist group condemned by the Human Rights Campaign and GLAAD.”
[Pleiades Strategy - 2024 Statehouse Report, [2024](#)]

Pennsylvania’s HB334 Drew Eight Cosponsors From Pennsylvania’s Extreme State House Freedom Caucus, Which Is Aligned With Leonard Leo’s Billion-Dollar Anti-ESG Network.

More Than Half The Sponsors And Cosponsors Of Pennsylvania HB334 Are Members Of The Pennsylvania State Freedom Caucus.

In 2023, HB 334 Was Introduced In The Pennsylvania House of Representatives By Prime Sponsor Rep. Perry A. Staumbaugh (R). [Pennsylvania HB334, [2023](#)]

- **Rep. Perry A Staumbaugh Is A Member Of The Pennsylvania House Freedom Caucus.** [State Freedom Caucus Network, accessed [09/13/24](#)]
- **Co-Sponsors Of The Bill Include Several Members Of The Pennsylvania House Freedom Caucus .** Co-Sponsors Include:
 - Rep. Dawn Keefer (R) (PA Freedom Caucus Chair)
 - Rep. David Rowe (R) (PA Freedom Caucus Vice-Chair)
 - Rep. Jamie Barton (R)
 - Rep. Windy Fink (R) (PA Freedom Caucus Member)
 - Rep. Barbara Gleim (R) (PA Freedom Caucus Member)
 - Rep. Joe Hamm (R) (PA Freedom Caucus Member)
 - Rep. R. Lee James (R)
 - Rep. Rob Kauffman (R)
 - Rep. Robert Leadbeter (R) (PA Freedom Caucus Member)
 - Rep. Milou Mackenzie (R)
 - Rep. Ryan Mackenzie (R)
 - Rep. Stephanie Scialabba (R)
 - Rep. Tim Twardzik (R)
 - Rep. David Zimmerman (R) (PA Freedom Caucus Member)

[Pennsylvania HB334, [2023](#)]

- *Over 50% Of The Sponsors And Cosponsors Of Pennsylvania HB334 Are Members Of The Pennsylvania State Freedom Caucus.*

The Pennsylvania State Freedom Caucus Is Part Of The Extreme State Freedom Caucus Network, Which Has Received At Least \$100,000 From Leonard Leo’s Anti-ESG Network.

Upon Its Founding In 2022, The Pennsylvania State Freedom Caucus Immediately Joined The National State Freedom Caucus Network. “State Reps. Dawn Keefer (R-York/Cumberland) and David Rowe (R-Union/Snyder) have been chosen to lead the Pennsylvania Freedom Caucus, which was formally introduced during a Monday news conference at the state Capital. The 23-member group selected Keefer to serve as chairwoman, while Rowe is the caucus’ founding vice chairman.... The Pennsylvania caucus will join the State Freedom Caucus Network, which was launched last year to help conservative lawmakers come together, organize and fight for the principles in which they believe. Pennsylvania becomes the eighth state to establish a freedom caucus.” [RepKeefer.com, [12/01/22](#)]

- **The State Freedom Caucus Network Provides “Strategy” And “High-Level Staff” For Its State Affiliates.** “Building on the success of the House Freedom Caucus (HFC), the SFCN will help establish State Freedom Caucuses (SFC) and provide the high-level staff, strategy, and community conservatives need to take ground across the country.” [State Freedom Caucus Network, accessed [02/28/24](#)]
- **HEADLINE: “Extreme Right State Freedom Caucuses Create Big Headaches for Republicans”** [Center for Media and Democracy, [05/22/24](#)]

In 2022, DC Advocacy Group Consumers Research Gave \$100,000 To The State Freedom Caucus Foundation.

(9) State Freedom Caucus Founda 300 Independence Ave Se Washington DC 20003	88-3060056	501 (c) (3)	100,000
(10)			

2 Enter total number of section 501(c)(3) and government organizations listed in the line 1 table
3 Enter total number of other organizations listed in the line 1 table

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990.
EEA

[Consumers Research Form 990, [2022](#)]

- **The State Freedom Caucus Foundation And State Freedom Caucus Network Are Related Organizations.**



STATE FREEDOM CAUCUS FOUNDATION
A 501(c)3 Organization providing basic training.



STATE FREEDOM CAUCUS NETWORK
A 501(c)4 Organization supporting caucus members.



[State Freedom Caucus Network, accessed [02/28/24](#)]

- Consumers Research Has Taken Millions Of Dollars From Leonard Leo’s Conservative Network As Part Of An “Anti-ESG Push.”** “A conservative nonprofit called Marble Freedom Trust and its consulting firm, CRC Advisors, are leading the anti-ESG push and have spent more than \$10 million on the effort so far, mostly through the group Consumers’ Research, people familiar with the spending said. The trust is overseen by Leonard Leo, a longtime leader at the Federalist Society, a network of lawyers that grooms conservative scholars, officials and judges. Mr. Leo helped former President Donald Trump choose conservative Supreme Court justices.” [Wall Street Journal, [02/26/23](#)]
- Consumers’ Research Sees Itself As Part Of A Political Alliance To “Derail” The ESG Goals Of Corporations And The Biden Administration.** “[Consumers’ Research] and other opponents of ‘woke capitalism’... see themselves as part of a political alliance that can scrutinize and possibly derail the environmental, social and governance — or ESG — goals of corporations and the Biden administration.” [Washington Post, [01/30/23](#)]

During Her Time In The Pennsylvania State House, Rep. Milou Mackenzie Has Taken Nearly \$3,000 In Campaign Cash From The Energy Industry And Has Been Endorsed By The Koch-Backed Americans For Prosperity.

Rep. Milou Mackenzie Has Taken Nearly \$3000 In Campaign Cash From Energy And Utility Companies.

Energy Industry Donations To Friends Of Milou Mackenzie			
Date	Donor	Amount	Source
2023-05-10	UGI ENERGY SVC PAC	\$300	2023 Cycle 7 Disclosure
2023-11-03	PGG-State [PPL]	\$500	2023 Cycle 7 Disclosure

2023-04-25	PGG-State [PPL]	\$500	2023 Cycle 7 Disclosure
2022-02-15	UGI UTILITIES PAC	\$250	2022 Cycle 2 Disclosure
2022-01-06	PPL PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVT	\$100	2022 Cycle 2 Disclosure
2022-02-01	PPL PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVT	\$250	2022 Cycle 2 Disclosure
2022-09-08	PGG-State [PPL]	\$300	2022 Cycle 5 Disclosure
2021-10-20	UGI UTILITIES INC/UGI ENERGY SERVICES LLC PAC	\$250	2021 Cycle 7 Disclosure
2021-11-02	PPL PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$250	2021 Cycle 7 Disclosure
2020-XX-XX	PPL PEOPLE FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT	\$250	2020 Cycle 5 Disclosure
Total		\$2,950	

Rep. Milou Mackenzie Has Been Endorsed By The Koch-Backed Americans For Prosperity; The Koch Network Has Also Funded Extreme Anti-ESG Groups Like Heritage Action.

August 2024: The Koch-Backed Americans For Prosperity Endorses Rep. Milou Mackenzie’s Re-Election Campaign. “Americans for Prosperity-Pennsylvania (AFP-PA) today announced its first wave of endorsements of candidates running for the Pennsylvania General Assembly. AFP-PA will support fourteen candidates, including... Rep. Milou Mackenzie (LD-131).” [Americans for Prosperity, [08/16/24](#)]

- **Americans For Prosperity Is “The Koch Network’s Flagship Group.”** “The Koch network’s flagship group, Americans for Prosperity, has spent two decades pouring money into influencing U.S. elections. As the group celebrates its 20-year anniversary, its hybrid super PAC ranks third in outside spending in the 2024 cycle. Americans for Prosperity Action, a hybrid PAC affiliated with the group, has spent over \$257 million since 2004 to support conservative congressional and presidential candidates” [OpenSecrets.org, [08/09/24](#)]

The Koch Network Has Also Given At Least Half A Million Dollars Directly To Heritage Action (FKA Heritage Action For America. “Conservative billionaires Charles and David Koch have another political cause — Heritage Action Fund. The megadonors have cut a check for half a million dollars to the group, which is often at odds with the GOP establishment and has made attacking Republicans a common practice this year. The Koch brothers’ decision to make a significant contribution to the group demonstrates the inroads Heritage Action has made in the short time since it launched in 2010.” [Politico, [10/09/13](#)]

- **Heritage Action For America Runs The Anti-ESG Website ESGHurts.com.**
[ESGHurts.com, accessed [09/13/23](#)]

At The Federal Level, The Inflation Reduction Act Has Unleashed Over \$7.4 Billion Dollars In Investment In Pennsylvania’s Clean Energy Economy—The Ten Pennsylvania Members Of Congress Who Voted Against It Have Taken Over \$3.5 Million In Oil & Gas Contributions

The Ten Pennsylvania Members Of Congress That Voted Against The Inflation Reduction Act Have Taken Over \$3.5 Million In Campaign Contributions From The Oil And Gas Industry

Representative/Senator	District	Career Oil & Gas Contributions
Rep. Brian Fitzpatrick	PA-1	\$295,582
Rep. John Joyce	PA-13	\$173,900
Rep. Fred Keller (Retired)	PA-12	\$32,158
Rep. Mike Kelly	PA-16	\$502,938
Rep. Daniel Meuser	PA-9	\$87,250
Rep. Scott Perry	PA-10	\$219,886
Rep. Guy Reschenthaler	PA-14	\$279,057
Rep. Lloyd Smucker	PA-11	\$202,582
Rep. Glenn Thompson	PA-15	\$681,535
Sen. Patrick Toomey (Retired)		\$1,093,263
		TOTAL: \$3,568,151

[Oil and gas contribution totals are from the Center for Responsive Politics]

Four Clean Power Manufacturing Facilities Have Been Announced In Pennsylvania Since The IRA Was Signed Into Law In August 2022

Company	Congressional District	Expected Online Date	Status	Technology
NextTracker Inc./JM Steel	PA-17	Q2 2024	Operating	Solar
EOS Energy Enterprises Inc.	PA-12	Q3 2024	Operating	Battery
Vitro Architectural Glass	PA-16	Q2 2025	Announced	Solar
CorePower Magnetics	PA-12	Unknown	Announced	Transmission

[\[American Clean Power Association, "Clean Energy Investing in America," August 2024\]](#)

Pennsylvania Has Received Over \$7.4 Billion In Clean Tech Investment Since The IRA Was Signed Into Law In August 2022

State	Clean Investment Since IRA Enactment	Per Capita Investment	Percent of State GDP
Pennsylvania	\$7.404 Billion	\$572	0.38%

[\[MIT Center For Energy And Environmental Policy Research & Rhodium Group, "Clean Investment Monitor: Tallying The Two-Year Impact Of The Inflation Reduction Act", 8/7/24\]](#)

Pennsylvania Has Received Nearly \$1.5 Billion In Federal Investment In Clean Tech Since The IRA Was Signed Into Law In August 2022

State	Federal Clean Investment Since IRA Enactment	Per Capita Investment	Percent of State GDP
Pennsylvania	\$1.483 Billion	\$114	0.08%

[\[MIT Center For Energy And Environmental Policy Research & Rhodium Group, "Clean Investment Monitor: Tallying The Two-Year Impact Of The Inflation Reduction Act", 8/7/24\]](#)